In press, Violence Against Women

"How can I not?" Men's pathways to involvement in anti-violence against women work.

<u>Corresponding Author:</u> Erin Casey, PhD, MSW Assistant Professor University of Washington, Tacoma Social Work Program, Box 358425 1900 Commerce St., Office WCG402 Tacoma, WA 98402-5825 (253) 692-4524 (phone) (253) 692-5828 (fax) ercasey@u.washington.edu

Tyler Smith, BASW Coordinator, Pierce County AIDS Foundation <u>tsmith@piercecountyaids.org</u>

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the 27 men who volunteered their time to participate in this study, along with the many individuals who provided consultation throughout the process of the research, including Jonathan Grove, Taryn Lindhorst, Kevin Miller, Joshua O'Donnell and Gayle Stringer. Portions of this paper were presented at the 2009 Conference on Men and Masculinities in Portland, Oregon and at the 2010 Society for Social Work Research Conference in San Francisco, CA. This research was supported by a University of Washington, Tacoma Founder's Grant.

## ABSTRACT

Despite growing male participation in ending violence against women, little is known about the factors that precipitate men's engagement as anti-violence "allies." This study presents findings from a qualitative analysis of interviews with 27 men who recently initiated involvement in an organization or event dedicated to ending sexual or domestic violence. Findings suggest that men's engagement is a process that occurs over time, that happens largely through existing social networks, and that is influenced by exposure to sensitizing experiences, tangible involvement opportunities, and specific types of meaning-making related to violence. Implications for models of ally development and for efforts to engage men in anti-violence work are discussed.

Key words: Ally development, engaging men, domestic violence, sexual assault, prevention

Engaging boys and men as anti-violence allies is an increasingly core element of efforts to end violence against women. In the past two decades, men have become more of a presence in long-established domestic and sexual violence organizations, and have created myriad men's organizing groups aimed at educating, engaging and mobilizing other men to take an active stand against sexual and intimate partner violence. Based in the reality that the majority of perpetrators of violence are male (Tjaden & Thoennes, 1998), that risk for violence is connected to traditional notions of appropriate "masculinity," (Heise, 1998; Murnen, Wright & Kaluzny, 2002), and that men are more likely to be influenced by other men (Earle, 1996; Flood, 2005) there is increasingly wide-spread agreement that the project of ending domestic and sexual violence requires male participation (Flood, 2005; DeKeseredy, Scwartz & Alvi, 2000). Existing programs engage males in a continuum of involvement, ranging from raising men's awareness about violence against women to encouraging their active involvement in taking a stand against the abuse of women (being an "ally"). Examples include The Men's Program, a prevention intervention for men that has been shown to reduce rape-related attitudes and behaviors among some college students (Foubert, Newberry & Tatum, 2007), and Mentors in Violence Prevention (Katz, 1995) and Men Can Stop Rape's Men of Strength Clubs (Hawkins, 2005), ally-building programs considered "promising" prevention strategies (Barker, Ricardo & Nascimento, 2007).

Still, knowledge building regarding men's anti-violence work is in its early stages. In particular, little data exists on the routes through which men initiate involvement in anti-violence efforts, or come to define themselves as anti-violence "allies." Additionally, although theorizing has developed regarding ally-building in other social justice endeavors such as anti-racism work, theoretical frameworks relative to men's anti-violence engagement are relatively new. Enhancing this knowledge and theory base holds promise for expanding outreach efforts to

diverse men, and for understanding how best to engage them. The purpose of this article is therefore to summarize research and theory regarding the process of initially engaging men, to present findings from a study of male allies about their involvement in anti-violence work, and to evaluate the degree of congruence between these men's experiences and existing theoretical perspectives on ally development.

#### Models of Social Justice Ally-Building

Engaging men as partners in efforts to end violence against women can be seen as parallel to "ally" development in other social justice arenas. Allies are typically defined as "members of dominant social groups (e.g. men, Whites, heterosexuals) who are working to end the system of oppression that gives them greater privilege and power based on their social-group membership" (Broido, 2000, p. 3). Significant model-building work describing the processes of ally-building has occurred, particularly in relation to the development of anti-racism allies. These models are likely instructive for enhancing theorizing and practice relevant for engaging men, particularly as many male allies may see their role as working to dismantle multiple forms of oppression (Funk, 2008) and because violence itself is inextricably linked with mechanisms of oppression (Sokoloff & Dupont, 2005).

Models of social justice ally formation are typically developmental in nature, noting the factors over time that collectively shape an individual's awareness of and commitment to rectifying social inequities. Across these models, critical elements of ally development include learning experiences regarding issues of racism and social inequity coupled with on-going opportunities to process, discuss and reflect upon those experiences (Broido, 2000; Reason, Miller & Scales, 2005), and opportunities to experience being a "minority," or to examine the parts of one's personal identity that are marginalized by "dominant" groups (Bishop, 2002;

Reason et al., 2005). Specific invitations to participate in social justice work and modeling by respected peers or mentors is also important (Reason et al., 2005; Tatum, 1994). Finally, many models of social justice ally development highlight the central importance of developing self-awareness of personal sources of unearned social privilege in relation to other groups (Bishop, 2002; Reason et al., 2005; Tatum, 1994) Drawing on social identity theory such as Helms' theory regarding White racial identity development (1990), these models suggest that ally behavior is predicated on a "status" of identity development characterized by the acknowledgment of racism and other forms of social inequities, coupled with an awareness of how one's own privilege may be complicit in the marginalization of others. Taken together, these models highlight the multi-layered nature of ally-promoting dynamics, which include intrapersonal factors (such as the ability to critically self-reflect), interpersonal factors (opportunities to engage with others across racial or gender "difference") and environmental factors (concrete opportunities for involvement). These factors are likely highly applicable to male anti-violence ally development.

## Theoretical Perspectives on Engaging Men as Allies

Although empirical models specific to male anti-violence ally formation have not been developed, researchers have proposed applying existing theoretical frameworks such as cognitive behavioral theory and the Transtheoretical Model (TTM) to violence prevention and ally building efforts. For example, Crooks and colleagues (2007) suggest that the cognitive behavioral principles of surfacing and re-shaping individual's core beliefs about an issue, identifying specific behaviors that build towards a desired behavior, and building opportunities to practice new behaviors could address common barriers to men's anti-violence involvement

such as ambivalence about the seriousness or relevance of the topic of violence against women, and uncertainty about or lack of skill related to specific actions they can take.

Similarly, scholars argue that principles from the TTM are instructive in tailoring violence prevention and ally-building efforts (Banyard, Eckstein & Moynihan, in press; Berkowitz, 2002). A stages of change model, the TTM suggests that individuals occupy different statuses in terms of their readiness to engage in behavior change over time, and that intervention strategies should be matched to an individual's current change stage (Prochaska, Redding & Evers, 2002). Applying the model to a bystander-focused sexual assault prevention program, Banyard et al. (2010) found that participants' pre-intervention stage of awareness regarding sexual assault (ranging from denial of the problem to active involvement in prevention activities) was associated with the magnitude of the program's impact on the participants, with respondents in the "pre-contemplation" stage evidencing less change following the program. Although applied to a mixed-gender audience in this case, these findings suggest that the TTM can offer a helpful framework for thinking about differentially tailoring engagement efforts for men with different levels of awareness or concern for the issue of violence against women. This concept is echoed in previous conceptualizations of men's degree of engagement, such as Funk's 2006 "continuum of male attitudes towards sexism and sexist violence" (p.78) that ranges from "overtly hostile," to "activist" in describing men's possible orientations to the issue of violence against women. To date, however, the implementation of either cognitive behavioral or TTM principles in engaging male allies has not been expressly tested, nor do these models necessarily address the combination of internal or environmental precipitating factors that might motivate men to seek or avoid an opportunity to learn about the issue of violence against women in the first place.

## Factors Associated with Men's Anti-violence Involvement

From the handful of studies that have explicitly examined factors associated with men's involvement in anti-violence or gender equity efforts, three themes are apparent. First, exposure to or personal experiences with issues of sexual or domestic violence appears to be a critical element (Coulter, 2003; Funk, 2008). Second, receiving support or encouragement from peers, role models and specifically female mentors are associated with initiation into anti-violence efforts (Coulter, 2003). This theme of peer support is echoed in findings that men's willingness to intervene in sexist peer behavior or a situation that may lead to violence against a woman is related to men's perceptions of their male peers' willingness to do the same (Fabiano, Perkins, Berkowitz, Linkenbach & Stark, 2003; Stein, 2007). Finally, longer-term dedication to anti-violence work is associated with employing a social justice analysis of violence that includes issues of racism and homophobia and that links violence against women to sexism (Dekeseredy et al., 2000; Funk, 2008).

Although these findings suggest that peers, mentors, and an awareness of violence or other social justice issues constitute a few of the possible important building blocks that support men's entrée into anti-violence involvement, little is known about the particular ways that these factors influence men. Other possible pathways in the probably complex process involved in deciding to join an anti-violence effort are also not yet elucidated. Further, unlike more general models of social justice ally development, the degree to which social identity development and an awareness of male privilege are central to initial anti-violence ally formation is unknown. Although some approaches to engaging men in anti-violence work incorporate a focus on critically examining notions of masculinity, such as Men Can Stop Rape's focus on "re-storying" dominant narratives of manhood (www.mencanstoprape.org), the extent to which male anti-

violence involvement is predicated on a critical awareness of male privilege remains unclear. As a whole, the specific factors influencing men's pathways to involvement have been identified by practitioners and current male allies as topics ripe for future research and investigation (e.g. Funk, 2008).

## Summary and Purpose of Study

In summary, although models of ally development have emerged from more general social justice arenas, the accuracy of these models in describing men's experiences of initiating anti-violence involvement is unknown. Further, little data is available regarding the specific internal, interpersonal and environmental factors that mutually facilitate men's anti-violence participation. Elucidating these gaps holds promise for more effectively reaching out to men and fostering their positive involvement in ending violence. To this end, this study examines qualitative data from interviews with 27 men who recently initiated membership or involvement in an anti-sexual or domestic violence effort. Specifically, this study aims to 1) describe the pathways through which these men became involved in anti-violence efforts, 2) build a conceptual model of participants' initiation into anti-violence work, and 3) evaluate the degree of overlap between extant theorizing about social justice ally development, and the participants' descriptions of their own experiences.

## METHODS

## Participant Recruitment

In accordance with procedures approved by the human subjects review committee, potential respondents were recruited in four ways. First, notices about the study were disseminated via several topic-relevant national email listserves, including the Prevention Institute's sexual violence prevention listserve, and the Men Against Violence listserve on

Yahoo. Permission to forward these notices to other relevant interest groups was included. Secondly, the first author attended relevant local community or agency meetings to announce and disseminate information about the study. Third, leaders of local men's anti-violence organizing groups were contacted and provided with information about the study. Finally, men who contacted the researcher regarding participation were invited to refer other potentially eligible men. Respondents contacted the researcher directly, and were screened for eligibility. Participation eligibility criteria included initiating involvement in an anti-violence against women organization, event or group within the past two years at the time of contacting the study, and being a man 18 years or older. Consistent with the goals of the study, recent initiation into anti-violence work was included as eligibility criteria in order to assess *current* factors and strategies associated with men's involvement; some data on factors associated with long-term anti-violence activism already exists (e.g. Funk, 2008). Eligible participants were then scheduled for a phone or in-person interview, depending on location.

## Sample

A total of 43 men were screened for participation in the study. Fourteen described long-term anti-violence involvement, and were therefore ineligible for participation. An additional two men did not return consent forms and therefore could not be interviewed. The final sample consisted of 27 men, ages 20-72. All but one identified as White; one man identified as Latino. This paper therefore largely reflects the experiences of White men in coming to view the issue of violence as relevant to and actionable in their own lives. Of the 16 men whose length of participation or lack of consent form excluded them from the study, 5 identified as African American, 1 as Latino and 10 as White. Participants from locations across the U.S. were

recruited, and represented all regions of the country. Length of involvement in anti-violence work at the time of the interview ranged from 1 to approximately 30 months.

Participants' involvement in anti-violence work generally fell into two categories; employment/volunteer work or involvement in a college campus-based organization. Of the 27 men, 10 (37%) were post college-aged men who worked or volunteered with a domestic and/or sexual violence-related program or government agency. These men's roles ranged from doing direct advocacy with survivors of violence, to volunteering in a prevention education program for youth. Of these, 5 reported that part of their organizational role was to engage other men or boys around the issue of violence. Sixteen (59%) of the participants (ages 20-42) joined a campus-based anti-violence group or effort at the college or university in which they were enrolled. Typical activities in which these participants were engaged included facilitating educational presentations for other college students, organizing campus-wide anti-violence awareness events, or designing activities or events aimed at garnering additional male participation. Finally, one participant described his participation as being a part of a men's discussion group that had partnered in a fund-raising effort with a local domestic violence agency.

## Data Collection

Nine participants were interviewed in person and the remaining 18 were interviewed by phone. Interviews varied from 45 to approximately 90 minutes in length and were semistructured, with standardized general questions designed to elicit involvement narratives, followed by tailored follow-up questions to explore relevant issues in greater depth. Question topics included the nature of men's involvement, the factors precipitating their initiation into anti-violence work, their perceptions of effective and ineffective strategies for engaging other

men, the impact of anti-violence involvement on their beliefs, attitudes and behaviors, and their perceptions of the factors that sustain men's anti-violence efforts. For example, men were asked to describe what prompted them to become involved in their organization or event, followed by in-depth prompts to expand upon any personal and/or environmental influences. All interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed.

### Data Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using Grounded Theory techniques and principles (Strauss & Corbin, 1998). An inductive analysis strategy useful for building conceptual frameworks from data, Grounded Theory emphasizes the development of conceptual categories derived through constant comparison of the dimensions of analytic concepts emerging from participants' data. Analysis was conducted by two researchers using the qualitative analytic software program ATLAS.ti. Coding was initially done separately by each researcher on several transcripts, and then compared and negotiated until agreement was reached on categories in the data for the purposes of analyzing remaining transcripts. Coding proceeded in two phases. First, all transcripts were coded for general domains, with like domains pertaining to men's pathways to involvement grouped together. Second, close inductive coding on relevant domains was done using extensive memoing to uncover concepts within the data, and relationships between those concepts. Particular attention was paid to the dimensions and qualities of the factors reported by participants as salient to their initiation into anti-violence involvement. Once saturation of concepts was reached, all transcripts were re-read and compared for both confirming and disconfirming cases. When agreement between researchers was reached regarding concepts and their theoretical relationships, trustworthiness was enhanced through the use of six member

checks (Lincoln & Guba, 1985), and through third party checks by two independent readers who evaluated the face validity of concepts and the data used to support them.

### RESULTS

Participants' descriptions of the specific factors related to their anti-violence involvement fell into three arenas. These arenas, and the proposed relationships between them are summarized in Figure 1. First, all men but one had some sort of "sensitizing" or priming experience that raised their level of consciousness regarding issues of violence or gender inequity and seemed to lay the groundwork for being open to involvement when an opportunity arose. Second, all men had at least one tangible opportunity or entrée into an anti-violence group, volunteer opportunity or job. Third, the meaning that participants had come to attach to the initial sensitizing and/or to the opportunity experience seemed to be a critical component of men's decision to devote time to anti-violence work. In other words, the impact of a sensitizing or opportunity experience, or the particular ways men made sense of it, constituted the motivating factor that allowed men to take or seek an opportunity to get involved. Pathways of men's movement through these arenas is reflected in Figure 1, and described more fully below.

Figure 1 about here

\_\_\_\_\_

## Sensitizing experiences

Most men identified more than one previous experience that rendered the issue of violence against women more salient or visible. The sensitizing experience may have made the issue of violence more important or "real," and was the first influential involvement factor for 25 (92%) of the participants. One participant did not describe any sensitizing experiences, and a

second participant encountered a sensitizing experience after his first involvement opportunity. The most common sensitizing experience was hearing a disclosure of domestic or sexual violence from a close female friend, family member or girlfriend, or witnessing violence in childhood. Fifteen respondents (56%) reported exposure to violence or a disclosure of violence, and many of these participants described re-evaluating the meaning of that exposure over time, or as other sensitizing or opportunity incidents arose. Next, 8 respondents (30%) described a pre-existing social justice consciousness or egalitarian value system as a factor in sensitizing them to issues of men's use of violence. This often stemmed from a respondent's own experiences of marginalization, or from previous exposure to connected issues of racism, classism and sexism. Another 8 respondents (30%) reported that they were exposed to a specific *learning opportunity* related to violence against women. For most of these men, this learning was a college-based prevention presentation on dating violence or sexual assault. Others were exposed to content through courses or lectures. Next, 5 participants (18%) reported that close relationships with influential women (mostly mothers) made them more aware of threats to women's safety, or fostered a feminist consciousness. Finally, 4 participants (15%) reported that they had been moved or troubled by a story or *stories of violence survivors* who were not personal acquaintances of the men. These stories emerged in a variety of contexts, including church, a work-related setting and Take Back the Night marches.

## **Opportunity** experiences

Similar to their sensitizing experiences, many men encountered more than one tangible entrée into anti-violence involvement. Nine respondents (33%) were formally invited or nominated to become a part of an anti-violence group or event by an acquaintance, professor or supervisor. Seven (26%) of the participants were members of a friendship group or community

in which others who were involved in anti-violence work encouraged the respondent to come to a meeting, or communicated that participation was important, inspiring or fun. Ten men (37%) reported that they were looking for a group, a "way to make a difference," or a community of similarly-minded friends, and that this active search precipitated involvement. Most of these men also knew someone involved in the group or effort that they ultimately joined. Finally, five participants (18%) initiated anti-violence work as the result of a job or volunteer position search. For two men, a formal involvement opportunity was the first influential factor precipitating their participation in some kind of anti-violence efforts.

## Shift in meanings

As men discussed the nature and qualities of the experiences that led to their antiviolence involvement, they described specific meanings they had derived from these experiences. For 17 of the men, a meaning evolved over time from a sensitizing event, which then became a precipitate or motivating factor in seeking or accepting an opportunity to become active. For the remaining 10 participants, the meanings emerged following a tangible involvement opportunity, which often generated new reflection on earlier sensitizing experiences, or exposure to additional sensitizing events. This new meaning-making, in turn, precipitated accepting an offer to join an anti-violence effort, or prompted the respondent to seek one. In both cases, the meaning that men attached to their sensitizing and opportunity experiences appeared to be a critical motivating factor in involvement. Three primary meanings surfaced, each with subthemes, and most men identified with more than one meaning. These are described more fully below.

## Compelled to action

This group of three sub-themes was generally related to a sense of feeling obligated to take action or seeing a tangible opportunity for making a difference. Collectively, men who derived these meanings from their sensitizing or opportunity experiences felt that they no longer had a choice to do nothing, newly perceived doing nothing as contributing to the problem, or had a clear sense of how their own strengths could make a contribution to addressing violence.

*Charged with a mandate.* The first of these subthemes, "charged with a mandate," was reported by 9 participants (33%). These men reported a sense that their new knowledge or awareness of violence rendered them responsible for taking action. Several men felt that having this knowledge eliminated the option of being uninvolved, or of not speaking up as long as women's safety was jeopardized. For example, the following respondent reflected on how his growing understanding of sexual violence led him to equate inaction with acquiescence and deepened his commitment to taking an active anti-violence stance:

Instead of seeing isolated incidences of people that I knew who had been assaulted, [I] started looking at that as a systemic issue. And I think once I started doing that, it was like, okay, how can I not? ... And I think I started putting that together... that it's a generalizable experience. Even people who haven't been assaulted experience some of this fear. I just knew what side of that I wanted to be on, and I knew that... not being active about it was being silent about it, and, therefore, in a sense condoning it. (MAV27)

Other men reported an increased awareness that simply not using violence in their own lives and being "decent" was not sufficient to reduce violence or to maintain the safety of women they care about. Describing the meaning he derived from a campus-wide presentation, one participant stated,

The thing that Jackson Katz was talking about is that, you could say, we're all good men here because we don't hit our girlfriends or wives, but he was saying, "Well, that's not good enough. You've got to be better men." And so that kind of inspired me. (MAV9)

*Perceiving an addressable need.* The second theme under "compelled to action," this meaning was reported by 6 respondents (22%). The meaning is characterized by men's perception that violence against women is a problem which can be addressed or made tangibly better, perhaps more so than other social issues, as reflected in this respondent's statement, "I can't stop global warming tomorrow, and I can't stop poverty tomorrow, but tomorrow someone might not get hit." (MAV4). Other men got involved because they identified or were exposed to a specific need or to a contribution they could make. The following participant described the appeal of a full-time role in an anti-violence agency:

I feel like I'm making a difference on a day-to-day basis by changing and/or having people listen to the story of the women... Or the other day I got funded for grant that I wrote... And to get that notice in the mail saying we want to support what you're doing, you know those things are just huge for me. And I just feel like I'm making a difference every day. (MAV6)

*Identifying own strengths*. Finally, 5 respondents (18%) noted that the sensitizing or opportunity experience had the effect of highlighting or identifying a particular skill or quality in themselves that was needed. As a result, these participants felt that they had something specific to contribute, or felt trusted, honored or recognized by encouragement to participate, or by a disclosure from a survivor. Describing his reaction to a friend's disclosure of abuse one participant noted,

I don't know if I knew the full power or the level of trust that's involved in telling someone else this, but I remember feeling really glad or good that she could trust me enough to say that... and that made me want to do that more. (MAV20)

Upon receiving a nomination to join an anti-violence education group, another participant learned about how his own skills could be tangibly useful, which motivated him to pursue the involvement opportunity:

I went through the interview process, you know I found out that the work they do was very presentation based, and I consider myself a very strong public speaker who's talking to a lot of male populations, or all male populations... and I thought that I could be a good, forceful speaker and really have a helpful role in trying to communicate with these populations. (MAV7)

## Changing world view

As a result of processing their sensitizing or opportunity events, several respondents reported experiencing a deep shift in their thinking about their own experiences or behavior or in their level of comprehension of the on-going vulnerability of women. This shift often served to connect the issue of violence much more closely to their own reality or priorities, or foster a previously absent emotional connection to the issue. Three sub-themes also emerged in relation to the "changing world view" meaning.

*Violence as relevant.* First, 11 respondents (41%) reported a heightened awareness of the relevance of violence to their own lives, and particularly to lives of women they care about. Participants described feeling frightened, disturbed or suddenly conscious of the multiple ways that violence against women manifests in their own communities. For many participants, disclosures of or learning opportunities about violence had the impact of splintering assumptions

that violence is not a significant issue in circles close to him. For example, one participant described the magnitude of the impact of learning that his mother is a survivor of violence:

To be completely honest, I really didn't think sexual assault was a very pertinent issue for me as a man until about my sophomore year. And, frankly, like probably until my sophomore year of college...I probably would have argued about the whole one in four statistic and other things like that. Like I never thought sexual assault was a big problem on our University. And during a break I went home and found out through an argument that my mom and sister were having that my mom was actually a victim and survivor of sexual assault. And it really kind of turned my whole...my world over. (MAV12)

For many men, processing a sensitizing experience created a sudden awareness of on-going vulnerability of people he loves, and generated an emotional connection to the issue of violence that was previously absent. This emotional connection, in turn, seemed to lay the groundwork for anti-violence involvement for these participants.

*Employing a structural analysis* was the second sub-theme related to a changing world view. Eight respondents (30%) reported that as they gained greater exposure to the issue of violence against women, they began to see it as connected with other social justice issues to which they were already committed. Linking sexual and domestic violence to social issues about which they had a pre-existing concern, such as racism or homophobia, rendered the issue of violence against women more pressing or relevant. In making these connections, men felt that they could address larger issues of oppression by becoming involved in anti-violence efforts. For example, the following respondent employed an analysis in which he connected violence to

two issues he cared deeply about, oppression and environmentalism, both of which he viewed as related to systems of domination and alienation:

And so when I work on the issue of sexualized violence, I'm conscious that I'm working specifically on the issue of sexualized violence, but I'm also conscious in that in working on that issue of sexualized violence, I'm also working on the general issue of violence itself and even more generally on that relationship between self and other, and if I can contribute toward undoing sexualized violence, then I see that as a contribution towards environmentalism, too.

(MAV24)

For other respondents, employing a structural analysis was related to linking violence against women to other forms of oppression that they had experienced or witnessed in their own lives. Again, making this connection with personal experiences of marginalization rendered violence a more focal, "actionable" concern for these men:

I saw a lot of my friends growing up experience hate crimes and experience physical violence... And so I guess I just...I was thinking about how I wanted to be as an ally to women in general. So I think that the way that I got involved in doing anti-violence work was through a lot of the anti-oppression work that I was doing when I was a youth...I mean I started doing a lot of activism and stuff when I was fourteen, and you know I've been doing it pretty consistently since then. And so I think that my strong relationship to doing anti-oppression work really helped segue me into doing anti-violence work. (MAV 15)

In addition to the eight respondents who identified a pre-existing social justice consciousness or concern for social equality as a precipitating factor in their involvement, an additional 8 men

spoke about how their anti-violence work has subsequently helped them to form an analysis of violence that links it to social inequity in general, and patriarchal norms or "traditional" forms of masculinity in particular. These men explicitly made connections between violence against women and issues of sexism, male privilege and race or sexuality-based oppression. In contrast, 8 men made no overt linkage between violence against women and broader issues of gender inequity or male privilege. Finally, three men could be characterized as beginning the process of examining how gender inequities are related to violence.

*Reassessing the past.* Finally, 4 respondents (15%) spoke about how their sensitization or opportunity experiences led them to re-evaluate past experiences or behavior. For these men, new learning about or new exposure to violence sparked additional reflection on past experiences, and perhaps viewing those experiences in a new way. The following two men spoke specifically about how their exposure to survivors of violence triggered a re-assessment of past aggressive behavior that ultimately motivated anti-violence involvement.

I guess I felt I sort of owed it to myself, if not society, and the universe to balance out who I used to be... so when this thing happened in high school, you know the accused... we literally kicked him out of our lives. And while I don't necessarily regret it, I do realize that is was ultimately probably not productive. And he probably went on to be a rapist or an abuser. He's probably more likely to turn out that way because of what we did. And so in a way it's like... sort of coming into my adulthood with this stuff, you know, I'm wanting to balance out the stupid, vigilante, adolescent emotions around this issue with adult proaction. (MAV3)

My part in DV work is part of my redemption, a part of reconciliation with my violent past. Not guilt or shame, but redemption. (MAV31) Re-evaluating past responses to disclosure was also part of re-assessment for two respondents. For these men, new learning about violence against women prompted uneasiness about the way they had handled past situations, and motivated a desire to gain

the knowledge and skills to provide support to survivors.

In my senior year, the girl I was dating was actually sexually assaulted [by someone else], and there was a lot of gray in the sense that I didn't really recognize fully what had happened at the time... I was really affected by that, and I felt very powerless. You know, I wasn't able to help her. I certainly blame myself a little bit for not realizing what had happened when it initially did. (MAV2)

## Joining with others

The third major meaning to emerge from men's sensitizing or opportunity experiences was a realization that anti-violence work was an opportunity to enact their own ideals with likeminded others, or was a way of joining a compelling group that offered connection and support. Two subthemes are related to joining with others.

*Feeling connected.* The first sub-theme was described by 4 respondents (15%). Primarily through opportunity experiences, these men began to see anti-violence work as a way to build or enhance connection with others, and particularly with other men. For these men, involvement was part of building community and a sense of mutual support. This is reflected in the following participants' description of his internal processing following his first exposure to a Take Back the Night event:

Knowing that there was a community of people who cared and in caring, the community substantially contributed to the healing of individuals who were... being so vulnerable, and knowing that there was a community to work with and that we could support each other in this process because something as pernicious and insidious as sexual violence does not just affect individuals; it affects whole communities, and its all of our burden to bear. And so knowing there was a community willing to stand in the face of that truth and stand together and then say no and then do the work to try and change some things... meant so much to me and made it worthwhile and continues to do so. (MAV13)

*Doing masculinity differently*. Another 5 participants described the appeal of realizing that they could work with other men in a way that was different from "traditional" approaches to masculinity or male friendship. These men expressed relief or excitement at the prospect of having close friendships with men in which they could express vulnerability, work collaboratively and find different ways of "being masculine" than masculine stereotypes might suggest. One participant noted,

It was something about just sitting in a circle with a couple of guys and talking about, you know, how men dance compared to women, like how it's not okay to put your hands above your head when you dance or something [laughs]. Just things like that, that you would *never* talk about just walking through campus or anything... and I thought that was really unique and really just honest, and it kind of lifts the weight off of your chest. Because I think a lot of the times, men walk around with this shield up, this... or we talk about the male stereotype *box* that we're always living in. Even if we don't want to, we're still put into that box, and

that was the first time in my life where I didn't have that box around me. And I liked it. It was fun. (MAV30)

## DISCUSSION

Men's descriptions of the influences that precipitated their involvement in anti-violence work suggest that factors at multiple levels over the course of time coalesce into taking an active stand against violence. Specifically, initial sensitizing exposures to the issue of violence or to survivors, coupled with internal meanings that men attach to these experiences, and tangible involvement opportunities were critical to these men's pathways to engagement. Across these three arenas, four general commonalities characterizing men's anti-violence involvement surfaced. First, all participants described their initiation into active anti-violence participation as a process that unfolded over time, and had multiple influences. Men's decision to take a stand against violence against women, attend an event or meeting, or join an anti-violence group was never constituted of or influenced by a single event or factor, but was rather precipitated by a combination of experiences and internal reflection that sparked or deepened men's interest in involvement. Second, respondents described specific ways in which the issue of violence of women had become personalized or emotion-laden for them in some way. Their anti-violence involvement was predicated, in part, on discovering ways that violence was personally relevant to their own lives or those close to them, or on making an empathic connection with the emotional consequences of violence. Third, the vast majority of participants became involved through or because of existing personal connections and social networks. Finally, many respondents made connections between their involvement and a sense of community; these participants felt that their initiation into anti-violence efforts was supported by their own community, or was part of an effort to find or build a sense of community for themselves.

Collectively, these findings hold implications both for enhancing model development regarding anti-violence ally development and for the practice of engaging men in violence prevention endeavors. These are discussed, in turn, below.

## Implications for models of ally development

Men's descriptions of their experiences leading to anti-violence involvement evidence both areas of overlap and disconnect with the models of social justice ally development presented in the introduction of this paper. Similar to the social justice ally models, anti-violence ally formation was a process that occurred over time, with factors at multiple levels (intrapersonal, interpersonal and community) convening to influence involvement. Specifically, similar to models developed by Broido (2000) and Reason et al., (2005), men's pathways to involvement relied on initial exposure opportunities, engagement in reflection and meaningmaking over time, personal connections to the issue of violence against women, and tangible, clear invitations or opportunities to join an ally effort within their personal communities. These factors are likely important to retain and adapt in refining models of male anti-violence engagement. Future research could seek to more fully elucidate the mechanisms or interventive approaches that best foster the kinds of precipitating experiences, reflection and meaning making described by both ally-development models and by men in this study.

A point of departure between models of social justice ally development and the experiences of men in this study is the degree to which male anti-violence allies engaged with their own social identities and male privilege as a precursor to involvement. Although approximately one third of the respondents described linking violence against women to structural justice issues as a meaning that fostered their involvement, this sometimes but not always took the form of interrogating their own social identities, ideas about "masculinity" or the

role of male privilege in perpetuating woman abuse. About one third of men in the study made no explicit connection between violence against women and larger social inequities such as sexism even after a period of involvement. Although these men may have framed violence as problematic or people they love as in need of protection, there was an absence of exploration about the roles of gender and power in the perpetuation of woman abuse. Further, only about 15% of the men in the study (those endorsing the "reassessing the past" meaning) explicitly described exploring the ways that their own past or current behavior might have reflected sexism as a step in their process of involvement. Unlike models of ally development more generally, therefore, the degree to which an awareness of gender-based social privilege is (or should be) a necessary prerequisite to anti-violence involvement remains a somewhat open question.

Several possible interpretations of the variance in men's engagement with issues of sexism exist. On a pragmatic level, interview probes may not have been specific enough to prompt all men to reflect on the ways that they engaged with the notion of male privilege as part of their involvement process. It may also be that the nature of many violence-related sensitizing or opportunity experiences (such as hearing a specific survivor's story, or receiving an invitation to attend an anti-violence event) do not contain explicit linkages between abuse and sexism, whereas sensitizing exposure experiences regarding racism or homophobia likely contain, by their very nature, clear connections to notions of oppression and unearned social privilege.

It may also be that the relatively recent nature of participants' initiation into anti-violence work means that some are at the beginning stages of the process of employing a social justice analysis. The on-going development of a critical awareness of gender inequity may be fostered by entrance into anti-violence work itself, as it was for about a third of men in this study. Practitioners have noted that initial awareness-building and engagement efforts need to meet men

"where they are" and that starting with conversations about male privilege may raise defensiveness and deter preliminary participation from some men who have important contributions to make (e.g. Funk, 2006). A the same time, a lack of awareness of male privilege may create risk for re-creating patterns of sexism within anti-violence work as more men become involved. Several practitioners have noted the need for men's anti-violence groups to continually consult with and be accountable to women and women's anti-violence networks to ensure that men's prevention efforts honor the considerable history of women's contributions to ending violence, and do not replicate structures of inequity (Berkowitz, 2002; Funk, 2006). While there is likely a middle ground of tailored engagement efforts for men that also gradually work towards a critical understanding of male privilege, the long term effectiveness of ally efforts depend upon tackling gender-based and other social inequities, as these ultimately buttress enduring violence. Further research is needed that examines the impact of involvement in anti-violence work over time on men's analysis of the roots of violence, and how men's degree of engagement with issues of sexism and male privilege relates to their impact and effectiveness as "allies."

Principles from the Transtheoretical Model (TTM) may provide helpful strategies for moving men through the process from a lack of awareness about abuse, to engagement, to a more critical evaluation of sexism and its links to violence against women. Combining social justice ally models with processes identified by the TTM to support behavioral change may enhance the both the accuracy and the interventive relevance of these models. For example, Prochaska et al., (2002) identify consciousness raising (increasing an understanding of the causes, impacts and dynamics of a specific behavior) and environmental reevaluation (examining the impact of inaction, or an unhealthy behavior on others) as typical processes associated with moving from

denial of a problem to "contemplation," or a readiness to engage in new behaviors. Coupling these specific processes with initial factors in social justice ally development (such as sensitizing or exposure experiences) may increase the models' explanatory power and guide intervention development related to engaging men around deeper issues of sexism and violence.

## Implications for practice

As noted above, for most of the men in this study, the decision to become a part of an anti-violence effort was both influenced by multiple factors and occurred over time. Engaging men is a multi-faceted process that likely demands repeated and diverse opportunities for exposure to the issue of violence against women, as well as built-in mechanisms for men to discuss, reflect on and make sense of the ways that violence is relevant to their worlds. Previously discussed application of multiple, diverse strategies for men at different "stages" in their relationship to the issue of violence are needed, both to provide initial "sensitizing" experiences and the opportunities to create meaning from them. For example, large scale community or educational events might be supported with a network of follow-up conversations, both formally and informally through peer groups, to allow for the kind of processing that could foster men's development as allies.

At the same time, although the men in this study were influenced by many different factors over time, a strong commonality among participants was the importance of personal connections and community in making linkages with concrete anti-violence opportunities. All but five participants located an opportunity for involvement through a friend or community member, and nine joined an anti-violence effort as the result of a formal personal invitation. This highlights the primacy of social networks as recruiting and engagement vehicles. Although many study participants were exposed to the topic of violence against women through

educational presentations, community events or college courses, these "learning opportunities" did not directly precipitate involvement on their own. Rather, encouragement and engagement from trusted peers or mentors constituted the tangible involvement opportunity that brought most of the men into anti-violence efforts. This echoes Broido (2000) and Reason et al., (2005) who found that the social justice allies in their studies did not actively seek action opportunities, but initiated their social justice activities only after explicit invitations to do so. The project of engaging men and encouraging them to view themselves as allies is therefore perhaps best done individually through existing social networks and by admired peers, and necessitates specific, personalized invitations to become a part of the work. Popular Opinion Leader approaches (POL), which have previously been applied to HIV (Fernandez et al., 2003) and smoking prevention (Campbell et al., 2008), capitalize on the power of natural leaders in existing social networks, and may be a relevant approach here. At the same time, engagement through social networks can build on "sensitizing experiences" that many men have likely already had, and can foster the kind of meaning making from those experiences that supports anti-violence engagement.

Finally, this "meaning making" is likely central to men's process in coming to see themselves as anti-violence allies. Efforts to provide sensitizing experiences (such as learning opportunities or occasions to hear survivor stories), or to engage men through personal contact in their social networks may be bolstered by explicitly cultivating the kinds of meanings that men in this study came to attach to the issue of violence. These might include unambiguously issuing a charge of responsibility to addressing the issue, identifying specific needs and the ways that individual men's strengths and talents may contribute to ameliorating those needs, fostering connections with supportive groups or community, relaying the unacceptability and dangers of

inaction, making explicit linkages between violence and other social issues men may care about, and highlighting the experiences of violence survivors in a way that helps men to interrogate their own underestimations about the degree to which sexual and domestic violence impact their communities and the people they love. Relaying information about the prevalence and impact of violence against women in general terms is likely insufficient to support men in seeing the issue as personally relevant; rather, helping men to form these deeper connections appears critical. Such efforts are reflected in existing men's engagement programs, such as A Call To Men (www.acalltomen.org), a national training organization dedicating to "galvanizing" men's antiviolence participation, and Men Can Stop Rape (www.mencanstoprape.org), which encourages young men to reframe and then act on "strength" in service of ending men's violence against women. Explicitly fostering several of these "meaning shifts" is likely an important element of any male anti-violence building effort.

## Limitations

Study limitations should be noted. Perhaps most importantly, the sample of men in this study almost exclusively identified as White. Findings presented here therefore largely reflect White men's anti-violence ally development and the meanings and factors significant to their journey. A glaring gap in both the findings presented here, and research about male anti-violence allies more generally is the experiences of men of color around anti-violence mobilization. Future research should examine both the unique factors that might influence the involvement of men of color, as well as how racism affects men's relationships to ally movements and to taking a stand around issues of violence. A second limitation is the small, volunteer nature of the sample. Men in this sample self-selected to participate, and therefore may represent a sub-group of male anti-violence allies who have particular interests or

experiences relative to their work which may not be more broadly generalizable. Future research with larger, more diverse samples is needed to evaluate the replicability of these findings. Finally, because this study focused only on men who have successfully been engaged in anti-violence work, it is not possible to contrast their stories to men who have had sensitizing or opportunity experiences, but have not chosen to become involved, or to men who have disengaged. Additional scholarship focusing on the discriminating factors separating male anti-violence allies from non-involved men may shed additional light on how to design engagement strategies to maximize effective male participation.

## Conclusion

Given that engaging and partnering with men is increasingly recognized as an important component of the formidable challenge of ending violence against women, it is important to build upon our understanding of the processes through which men incorporate anti-violence work into their own lives. This study suggests that, similar to existing models of social justice ally development, exposure to issues of violence, opportunities to critically reflect and make meaning from those exposures and tangible invitations for involvement are some of the general inter-related factors that motivate men's anti-violence involvement over time. As the practice of engaging men, and the models developed to describe it are refined over time, additional work is needed regarding the particular strategies that best foster the kinds of opportunities and meaningmaking associated with men's commitment to anti-violence efforts. This may be assisted by adapting elements of theoretical frameworks, such as the Transtheoretical Model, with models of ally formation to maximize the efficacy of efforts to broaden the range of men dedicated to ending violence in women's lives.

## REFERENCES

- Banyard, V.L., Eckstein, R.P., & Moynihan, M.M. (2010). Sexual violence prevention: the role of stages of change. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 25, 111-135. doi:10.1177/0886260508329123
- Barker, G., Ricardo, C. & Segundo, M. (2007). Engaging men and boys in changing genderbased inequity in health: evidence from programme interventions. Retrieved July 29, 2009 from: www.who.int/gender/documents/Engaging\_men\_boys.pdf
- Berkowitz, A. (2002). Fostering men's responsibility for preventing sexual assault. In P.A.
  Schewe (Ed.) *Preventing violence in relationships: Interventions across the life span.*(pp 163-196). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Bishop, A. (2002). Becoming an ally: Breaking the cycle of oppression in people (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.).Halifax, Nova Scotia: Fernwood.
- Broido, E.M. (2000). The development of social justice allies during college: a phenomenological investigation. *Journal of College Student Development*, *41*, 3-18.
- Campbell, R., Starkeya, F., Holliday, J., Audrey, S., Bloorc, M. Parry-Langdon et al. (2008). An informal school-based peer-led intervention for smoking prevention in adolescence (ASSIST): a cluster randomised trial, *Lancet*, 371, 1595-1602.
- Coulter, R.P. (2003). Boys doing good: young men and gender equity. *Educational Review*, 55, 135-145.
- Crooks, C.V., Goodall, G.R., Hughes, R., Jaffe, P.G., Baker, L.L. (2007). Engaging men and boys in preventing violence against women: Applying a cognitive-behavioral model.
   *Violence Against Women, 13*, 217-239. doi: 10.1177/1077801206297336

- DeKeseredy, W. S., Schwartz, M. D., & Alvi, S. (2000). The role of profeminist men in dealing with woman abuse on the Canadian college campus. *Violence Against Women*, *6*, 918-935. doi: 10.1177/10778010022182218
- Earle, J.P. (1996). Acquaintance rape workshops: their effectiveness in changing the attitudes of first year college men. *NASPA Journal*, *34*, 2-18.
- Fabiano, P. M., Perkins, H. W., Berkowitz, A. D., Linkenbach, J., & Stark, C. (2003). Engaging men as social justice allies in ending violence against women: Evidence for a social norms approach. *Journal of American College Health*, 52, 105-112.
- Fernandez, M. I., Bowen, G. S., Gay, C. L., Mattson, T. R., Bital, E., & Kelly, J. A. (2003). HIV, sex and social change: Applying ESID principles to HIV prevention research. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, *32*, 333-344. doi:10.1023/B:AJCP.0000004752.42987.a1
- Flood, M. (2005). Changing men: best practice in sexual violence education. *Women Against Violence*, 18, 26-36.
- Funk, R. (2006). Reaching men: strategies for preventing sexist attitudes, behaviors, and violence. Indianapolis, IN: JIST Publishing, Inc.
- Funk, R. (2008). Men's work: Men's voices and actions against sexism and violence. Journal of Intervention and Prevention in the Community, 36, 155-171.
- Foubert, J.D., Newberry, J.T., & Tatum, J.L. (2007). Behavior differences seven months later: Effects of a rape prevention program on first-year men who join fraternities. NASPA Journal, 44, 728-749.
- Hawkins, S.R. (2005). Evaluations findings: Men Can Stop Rape, Men of Strength Clubs 2004-2005. Retrieved at www.mencanstoprape.org on August 8, 2007.

- Heise, L. L. (1998). Violence against women: An integrated, ecological framework. *Violence Against Women*, *4*, 262-290. doi: 10.1177/1077801298004003002
- Helms, J.E. (1990). Toward a model of White racial identity development. In J.E. Helms (Ed.), Black and White racial identity: theory, research and practice. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing.
- Katz, J. (1995). Reconstructing masculinity in the locker room: The Mentors in Violence Prevention Project. *Harvard Educational Review*, 65, 163-174.
- Lincoln, Y.S. & Guba, E.G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Murnen, S.K., Wright, C. & Kaluzny, G. (2002). If "boys will be boys," then girls will be \ victims? A meta-analytic review of the research that relates masculine ideology to sexual aggression. *Sex Roles*, 46, 359-375.
- Prochaska, J.O., Redding, C.A. & Evers, K.E. (2002). The Transtheoretical Model and stages of change. In K. Glanz, B.K. Rimer & F.M. Lewis (Eds.) *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory Research and Practice* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.) (pp 99-120). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Reason, R.D., Miller, E.A.R. & Scales, T.C. (2005). Toward a model of racial justice ally development. *Journal of College Student Behavior*, 46, 530-546.
- Sokoloff, N.J. & Dupont, I. (2005). Domestic violence at the intersections of race, class and gender. *Violence Against Women, 11*, 38-64. DOI: 10.1177/1077801204271476
- Stein, J.L. (2007) Peer educators and close friends as predictors of male college students' willingness to prevent rape. *Journal of College Student Development*, 48, 75-89.

Strauss, A. & Corbin, J. (1998). Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures

for developing Grounded Theory. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

- Tatum, B. (1994). Teaching White students about racism: The search for White allies and the restoration of hope. *Teachers College Record*, *95*, 462-476.
- Tjaden, P., & Thoennes, N. (1998). Prevalence, Incidence and Consequences of Violence Against Women: Findings From the National Violence Against Women Survey.
  Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice.

## **BIOGRAPHICAL STATEMENT**

Erin Casey, Ph.D. is an Assistant Professor of Social Work at the University of Washington, Tacoma. She received her M.S.W. and Ph.D. in Social Welfare at the University of Washington, Seattle and has over 10 years of practice experience in the fields of domestic and sexual violence. Erin's research interests include the etiology of sexual and intimate partner violence perpetration, examining ecological approaches to violence prevention, understanding men's impact on violence prevention efforts, and exploring intersections between violence, masculinities, and sexual risk.

Tyler Smith received his Bachelor of Arts in Social Welfare from the University of Washington, Tacoma in 2009 and is currently the coordinator of the Stafford Creek Project at the Pierce County AIDS Foundation, an HIV prevention program for the incarcerated. Tyler's research interests include the impact gender roles have on intimate partner violence and examining the unique experiences of LGBTQ youth in the foster care system.

## Figure 1. Conceptual Model of Men's Pathways to Anti-Violence Involvement

